

Amendments to Drawings:

Guide part “200” has been added in FIG. 1 and FIG. 2 on Sheet 1/2 of the formal drawings as filed. A “Marked-Up” Sheet” showing, in red, the insertion of guide part 200 in FIGS. 1 and FIGS. 2 is attached hereto as Attachment A.

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested in view of the amendments above and the remarks below.

Objection to the Drawings

In the Final Office Action, the drawings stand objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they do not show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Specifically, the Office requires that the “guide part” recited in claim 1 and amended claim 6 be shown in the drawings. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits a corrected drawing sheet showing the changes in red ink (labeled “Mark-Up Sheet”) and a “Replacement Sheet” in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d). These amended drawing sheets attached hereto as Attachment A include Figures 1 and 2, which appeared on the immediate prior version of the sheet. Both Figures 1 and 2 are amended to show a guide part referenced as “200.” Support for this amendment is found in the specification at paragraphs [0014] and [0020], which also have been amended to include the reference number “200” for the guide part. No new matter has been added.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112

In the Final Office Action, claims 1-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Specifically, since the guide parts were not depicted in the drawing, the Examiner does not consider this element recited in the claim to be enabled. With the amendment to the drawings to include a guide part, Applicant respectfully submits that this ground of rejection has been overcome. No new matter has been added.

Amendment to Claim 6

In the Final Office Action, claim 6 stands rejected based on the original rejection made in the Office Action dated August 10, 2005. As suggested by the Examiner, Application has included the limitation that was included in the amendment to claim 1 in claim 6. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that this ground for rejection be withdrawn and that claim 6 be allowed.


CONCLUSION

For all of the above reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all grounds objection and rejecting the above-referenced application have been overcome. It is believed that the application is in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

If a telephone conference would be of assistance in advancing the prosecution of the subject application, applicants' undersigned attorney invites the Examiner to telephone him at the number provided.

Dated: January 10, 2006

Respectfully submitted,


Brett M. Hutton
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 46,787

HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI P.C.
5 Columbia Circle
Albany, New York 12203
Telephone: (518) 452-5600
Facsimile: (518) 452-5579




fig. 1




fig. 2